

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

for

ENHANCED UNIQUENESS FOR PATTERN RECOGNITION

Inventors:

Gary Cao and Alan Wong

Prepared by:

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(408) 720-8598

Attorney Docket No.: 042390.P9473

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EL 431 882 187 US

Date of Deposit: December 30, 2000

I hereby certify that I am causing this paper or fee to be deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service on the date indicated above and that this paper or fee has been addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D. C. 20231

Teresa Edwards

(Typed or printed name of person mailing paper or fee)

Teresa Edwards
(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

December 30, 2000

(Date signed)

ENHANCED UNIQUENESS FOR PATTERN RECOGNITION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

1. FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the field of semiconductor integrated circuit (IC) manufacturing, and more specifically, to a method of
10 improving pattern recognition for critical dimension (CD) measurement in an optical microscope or a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

2. DISCUSSION OF RELATED ART

15 During fabrication of an integrated circuit (IC), many parameters of the semiconductor devices must be monitored to maximize yield. In particular, it is desirable to measure critical dimension (CD) of certain features, especially on the critical layers such as shallow trench isolation, polysilicon gate, contact, and first metal.

20 The CD for a layer may be monitored in-line by sampling the product features on various die across a wafer. However, it is often advantageous to measure test structures that may be placed in the scribe lines separating the die. CD measurements are usually performed after develop since rework is still possible at that point by stripping the photoresist. CD measurements are also
25 done after etch to determine the etch bias.

CD measurements are often taken optically on a tool with conventional microscope optics or with laser-spot scanning. The resolution of an optical probe can be increased by about 30 % if a confocal configuration is used. However, it is usually necessary to use a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to measure a CD
30 smaller than about 200 nanometers. To avoid charging of the sample, the

acceleration voltage should be kept below about 600 to 1000 volts or the vacuum should be kept low. Field emission guns are often used to produce good images.

A SEM may be used to measure the CD of a structure after develop or after etch. After loading a wafer into the SEM, a motorized stage moves the

5 wafer to a specified location based on an external coordinate system. Then, pattern recognition of the captured image is performed to locate the desired structure in the vicinity. Finally, the CD of the structure is measured.

Although sophisticated algorithms are available for pattern recognition, various parameters in the recipe must still be empirically optimized to improve

10 the robustness of the recipe. If the acceptance level is too relaxed, pattern recognition may mistakenly identify an incorrect feature. Then the corresponding CD measurement would not be meaningful, thus, degrading data integrity and compromising in-line process control. On the other hand, if the acceptance level is too stringent, the pattern recognition may fail, thus,

15 mandating manual intervention by the user. At a minimum, the processing of the wafer is interrupted. Of even more concern is that the feedback from the SEM to the process tools is delayed, needlessly leading to production of more wafers that are out of specification and have to be scrapped.

20

Thus, what is needed is a structure for and a method of improving pattern recognition.

25

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 **Figure 1 (a)** is an illustration of a plane view of chips separated by scribe lines on a wafer.

10 **Figure 1 (b)** is an illustration of a plane view of 4 adjacent chips, each chip having a metrology cell located in each corner.

15 **Figure 1 (c)** is an illustration of a plane view of a cluster of 4 identical metrology cells at an intersection of a horizontal scribe line and a vertical scribe line.

20 **Figure 1 (d)** is an illustration of a plane view of a test structure having a single array.

25 **Figure 2** is an illustration of a plane view of a test structure having multiple arrays.

30 **Figure 3** is an illustration of a plane view of a test structure having a first set of features and a second set of features.

35 **Figures 4 (a) - (c)** are illustrations of modifications or transformations to provide sufficient uniqueness to a set of features.

40 **Figure 5** is a flowchart of a typical geometric transformation.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENT INVENTION

In the following description, numerous details, such as specific materials, dimensions, and processes, are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, one skilled in the art will realize that the invention may be practiced without these particular details. In other instances, well-known semiconductor equipment and processes have not been described in particular detail so as to avoid obscuring the present invention.

The present invention describes a structure for and a method of improving pattern recognition for a tool, such as an optical microscope or a scanning electron microscope (SEM). The structure includes a first set of features sufficiently resembling certain product features to allow monitoring of important parameters, such as a critical dimension (CD) of a feature or a thickness of a film layer, for compliance with specification. The structure further includes a second set of features sufficiently unique compared with nearby structures to allow distinguishing them. The method includes a procedure to design such a structure and a procedure to perform pattern recognition on such a structure.

In a SEM, an electron beam is raster scanned on a sample, such as a wafer or a photomask, and the secondary electron signal is detected with a detector, such as a scintillator and a photomultiplier, or a multi-channel plate. The sample is usually scanned multiple times to produce an image of the field of view (FOV) to be stored in a buffer. Digital image processing is performed on the acquired image to identify the correct structure in the field of view.

An integrated circuit (IC) is typically fabricated as a chip on a semiconductor wafer 100, as shown in **Figure 1 (a)**. One or more chips are arranged within a die 102 that is replicated by photolithography in a regular pattern many times across the wafer 100. The die 102 are separated by horizontal scribe lines 105 and vertical scribe lines 107 along which they are subsequently scribed and diced to be packaged.

However, wafers often become distorted by thermal cycling during fabrication. Layer-to-layer overlay errors may also accumulate. Consequently, a desired structure may not be found initially when a stage holding a wafer sample in a SEM has been moved to a specified location. Then, it becomes necessary to 5 search other candidate structures in the surrounding area to find the desired structure.

Pattern recognition is used to compare a candidate structure with a reference structure stored in memory. A score is calculated based on normalized correlation. All candidate structures having scores exceeding a preset threshold 10 value are ranked. The candidate structure having the highest score is identified as the desired structure. However, an incorrect structure may still be selected, especially if the sample is not loaded properly on the stage or the stage is not calibrated precisely.

At a particular layer of processing a wafer, it may be desired to measure a 15 feature **117** in a test structure **110** that is representative of the product in the chip, as shown in **Figure 1 (c)**. Feature **117** is shown as a hole that is approximately circular. In other cases, the feature **117** may have a different geometry, such as a polygon, a line, or a space. The feature **117** may have symmetry along 2 axes, 1 axis, or none at all.

20 A test structure **110** is usually placed near each corner of a die **102** in the scribeline, as shown in **Figure 1 (b)**. As a result, four copies of the test structure will be located near each other in a cluster at the intersection of a horizontal scribeline **105** and a vertical scribeline **107**. **Figure 1 (c)** shows test structures **110**, **120**, **130**, and **140** that are located in a cluster **150**.

25 However, instead of measuring test structure **110**, a SEM may mistakenly select test structure **120** or **130** or **140**, all of which can be found in the vicinity in the same cluster **150**. As a result, instead of measuring the center feature **117**, the SEM may measure the center feature **127** or **137** or **147**. Thus, the SEM has found the wrong test structure in the cluster **150** at the intersection of 4 chips.

The CD **112** of a feature **115**, as shown in **Figure 1 (d)**, may vary, depending on the number of nearby features, their proximity, their shapes, and their CDs. A proximity effect will result from a variation in light intensity caused by a local difference in pattern density. In order to accommodate the proximity effect, it is desirable to surround the feature to be measured with a sufficient number of identical features. For example, a test structure **110** being monitored at a contact layer may have holes arranged in a 5-by-5 array **110a** with a pitch **114** in the horizontal direction and a pitch **115** in the vertical direction. The pitch is defined as the center-to-center spacing of adjacent features in an array of repeating, identical features. Then CD **112** would be measured on the center feature **117** of the array.

Even if the correct test structure **110** in the cluster **150** were to be selected, the SEM may mistakenly measure the wrong feature. For example, instead of measuring the center feature **117** in the correct test structure **110**, the SEM may select feature **119** that is nearby. See **Figure 1 (c)**. Thus, the SEM has found the wrong feature **119** within the correct test structure **110** in the cluster **150**.

A test structure **110** may include only one array **110a**, as shown in **Figure 1 (d)**. However, a test structure **210** may also include multiple arrays **210a**, **210b**, **210c**, **210d**, as shown in **Figure 2**. In the latter case, each array in the test structure **210** may be designated for use on a separate layer during the processing of the wafer.

Alternatively, the multiple arrays in the test structure **210** can be used on the same layer. Then process latitude may be characterized by studying the effect on CD of focus and exposure dose across a field and across a wafer. For example, a first array **210a** may have holes with the same CD and the same pitch as the product. A second array **210b** may have holes with smaller CD and the same pitch as the product. A third array **210c** may have holes with larger CD and the same pitch as the product. A fourth array **210d** may have the reverse polarity, in other words, islands instead of holes, with the same CD and the same pitch as the product.

For a test structure 210 that includes several similar arrays, as shown in **Figure 2**, the SEM may mistakenly measure center feature 217b or 217c or 217d instead of the correct 217a. Thus, the SEM has found the wrong array within the correct test structure 210.

5 The present invention adds sufficient uniqueness to the desired test structure 250 so that pattern recognition can result in an unambiguous and correct identification despite the proximity of other similar structures in the vicinity. Pattern recognition includes evaluation of contrast, density, tone, and grey scale in an image.

10 The test structure 250 includes a first set 245 of features and a second set 255 of features. The first set 245 of features is a subset of the product features to be monitored. The second set 255 of features is similar to the first set 245 of features, but differs in one or more ways. The second set 255 of features may be merged directly into the first set 245 of features or may be separated by a buffer 15 region 265. Pattern recognition may be performed on part or all of the first set 245 of features and part or all of the second set 255 of features. Alternatively, pattern recognition may be done only on part or all of the second set 255 of features.

Uniqueness is provided to the test structure 250 by the second set 255 of 20 features. The uniqueness may involve one or more characteristics such as size, linewidth, space, pitch, orientation, pattern factor, polarity, number of edges, and number of features.

Size refers to the dimensions of a set of features, such as the length and the width of an array of holes. Linewidth refers to the shortest linear distance 25 between the facing edges of a feature, such as the diameter of a hole or the width of a line. Space refers to the shortest linear distance between the facing edges of adjacent features. Pitch refers to the sum of a linewidth and an adjacent space in a regularly repeating pattern of identical features. Orientation refers to the angular placement of a feature in the die.

Pattern factor refers to the percentage of total area (features and spaces) that is occupied by the interior of the features. Polarity refers to placement of the interior of a feature on one side of an edge versus the other side of the edge.

Polarity is reversed by exchanging the interior of a feature with the exterior of a feature. Polarity affects the perceived grey scale in an image.

Number of edges refers to number of intersections where two predominantly distinct surfaces meet. An edge defines a boundary, usually quite abrupt, between the interior of a feature and the exterior of a feature.

The second set 255 of features in the present invention should be as small and unobtrusive as possible in order to avoid taking up too much space. In general, the second set 255 of features occupies a smaller area than the first set 245 of features. Furthermore, the second set 255 of features should not be vastly different in shape and dimension from the first set 245 of features so as to avoid violating groundrules for design and layout of the product.

The second set 255 of features is created by modifying a template 253. The template 253 is based on the first set 245 of features. The modification usually involves geometric transformation of the features. For example, if the template 253 includes product features such as holes arranged in a square array, the second set 255 of features may include additional holes 254 so the array becomes face-centered. See **Figure 4 (a)**.

If the template 253 includes parallel lines, the second set 255 of features may have jogs 257 in the lines. See **Figure 4 (b)**.

If the template 253 has features that are predominantly rectilinear in the x- and y-directions, the modification can introduce a rotation 259 to form the second set 255 of features. See **Figure 4 (c)**.

Another embodiment of the present invention involves a method of designing a test structure with sufficient uniqueness to facilitate successful pattern recognition of its image. The test structure has a first set of features and a second set of features. The second set of features serves to provide sufficient uniqueness to facilitate pattern recognition of the test structure. In general,

similar test structures that are located near each other may be distinguished by modifying their second set of features.

A flowchart of a typical geometric transformation according to the present invention is shown in **Figure 5**. Depending on the situation, the individual

5 operations described below may be performed in a different sequence. As needed, some operations may also be performed iteratively. If desired, the claimed invention may be automated, in whole or in part, using software and a computer.

First, as shown in block **10**, a subset is extracted from the product features
10 to form a first set of features.

Second, as shown in block **20**, a portion is extracted from the first set of features to form a template. A portion may represent 3 to 15 percent of the first set of features.

Third, the template is transformed into a second set of features by three
15 operations: rotating, space scaling, and linewidth scaling.

As shown in block **33**, the template is rotated in either a counterclockwise or a clockwise direction. The rotation is typically in the range of 15 to 55 degrees, but may be as small as 0 or as large as 90 degrees. A negative rotation is counterclockwise while a positive rotation is clockwise.

20 As shown in block **36**, the spaces between the features in the template are changed by a space scaling factor. The space scaling factor is typically in the range of - 0.85 to + 2.00. A negative space scaling factor reduces a space while a positive space scaling factor increases a space.

As shown in block **39**, the linewidths of the features in the template are
25 changed by a linewidth scaling factor. The linewidth scaling factor is typically in the range + 0.25 to - 0.25. A positive linewidth scaling factor enlarges a feature while a negative linewidth scaling factor shrinks a feature. The linewidth scaling factor and the space scaling factor usually have opposite algebraic signs.

Fourth, as shown in block **40**, a buffer zone is added. A buffer zone
30 essentially represents a lateral displacement. The buffer zone may simplify

design and layout since different first sets and different second sets may be combined as desired.

Fifth, as shown in block 45, the first set of features and the second set of features are merged to form a test structure.

5 Accuracy of pattern recognition may be reduced if the pattern factor is too low. Sensitivity of pattern recognition is also affected by local variation in pattern factor across a test structure. The average change in pattern factor of the test structure after scaling both the space and the linewidth should be kept in the range - 0.15 to + 0.15. This can be achieved because the area occupied by the first
10 set 245 of features is usually much larger than the area occupied by the second set 255 of features. It is desirable not to change pattern factor too drastically in the test structure because the fabrication process is normally optimized for a particular pattern factor in the product.

A further embodiment of the present invention involves a method of
15 performing pattern recognition of a test structure that has been designed with sufficient uniqueness as described above. The method is to store an image of a reference structure with the appropriate uniqueness, load a sample on a stage, move the stage to go to a nominal location on the sample based on an external reference coordinate system, adjust the stage to the appropriate orientation,
20 adjust the optical column to the appropriate magnification, focus and fine-tune an image of a test structure, capture the test image in a field of view, store the test image in a buffer, scan all portions of a specified region of interest (ROI) of the test image, recall the reference image, perform a normalized correlation of each portion relative to the reference image, compute a score for the degree of
25 similarity of each portion to the reference image, discard the portions with scores below the allowable threshold, rank the portions from highest score to lowest score, determine the location of the portion with the highest score, compare with the nominal location, calculate offsets and scaling factors, move the stage to a measurement location within the field of view, change magnification, focus and
30 fine-tune an image of a measurement structure, capture the measurement image

in the field of view, store the measurement image in a buffer, acquire a signal profile of the measurement image, and use an edge detection algorithm to measure CD. The CD may be determined using algorithms employing techniques such as linear regression (of the base line and the slope line), peak-to-peak, and threshold.

5 In general, the score depends on the degree of match between the reference image and the test image. In other words, the score depends on the first set of features and the second set of features which form the test structure.

10 Normalized correlation is used to determine the score because it is not susceptible to linear changes in brightness of the captured image. However, normalized correlation can be affected by nonlinear changes, such as charging of a sample.

15 Many embodiments and numerous details have been set forth above in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. One skilled in the art will appreciate that many of the features in one embodiment are equally applicable to other embodiments. One skilled in the art will also appreciate the ability to make various equivalent substitutions for those specific materials, processes, dimensions, concentrations, etc. described herein. It is to be understood that the detailed description of the present invention should be taken 20 as illustrative and not limiting, wherein the scope of the present invention should be determined by the claims that follow.